## Case 3:13-cr-30144-DRH Document 25 Filed 09/18/13 Page 1 of 1 Page ID #34 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES of AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) Case No. 13-cr-30144-DRH
CORVIN H. HOWARD,	)
Defendant.	)

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The United States of America and the Defendant having both filed a written consent, appeared before me pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 and SDIL Rule 72.1(b)(2). The Defendant entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the Superseding Indictment.

Defendant also conceded the government could prove all of the elements for forfeiture of the property sought in the forfeiture allegation; accordingly, Defendant relinquished any interest he may have in that property.

After cautioning and examining the Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary, and that the offenses charged are supported by an independent factual basis containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I similarly found that Defendant knowingly and intelligently conceded the forfeiture of the property enumerated in the forfeiture allegation.

I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, that a presentence investigation and report be prepared, and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly.

DATED: September 18, 2013.

s/ Clifford J. Proud
CLIFFORD J. PROUD
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## **NOTICE**

Failure to file a written objection to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned U.S. District Judge. 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B).